



TABLE 3-2: TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES SCREENING MATRIX

Rating Codes ● Above Average ○ Average ○ Below Average N/A - "Not Applicable" I/D - "Insufficient Data" ◇ - Level of Effectiveness highly dependent upon specific contaminant and its application	Development Status	Treatment Train	Relative Overall Cost & Performance					Availability	Nonhalogenated VOC's	Halogenated VOC's	Nonhalogenated SVOC's	Halogenated SVOC's	Fuels	Inorganics	Radionuclides	Explosives
			O&M	Capital	System Reliability & Maintainability	Relative Costs	Time									
Soil, Sediment, Bedrock, and Sludge																
3.1 In Situ Biological Treatment																
	4.1 Bioventing	●	●	●	●	●	○	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.2 Enhanced Bioremediation	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.3 Phytoremediation	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.2 In Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment																
	4.4 Chemical Oxidation	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.5 Electrokinetic Separation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.6 Fracturing	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.7 Soil Flushing	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.8 Soil Vapor Extraction	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.9 Solidification/Stabilization	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.3 In Situ Thermal Treatment																
	4.10 Thermal Treatment	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.4 Ex Situ Biological Treatment (assuming excavation)																
	4.11 Biopiles	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.12 Composting	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.13 Landfarming	●	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.14 Slurry Phase Biological Treatment	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.5 Ex Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment (assuming excavation)																
	4.15 Chemical Extraction	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.16 Chemical Reduction/Oxidation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.17 Dehalogenation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.18 Separation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.19 Soil Washing	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.20 Solidification/Stabilization	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.6 Ex Situ Thermal Treatment (assuming excavation)																
	4.21 Hot Gas Decontamination	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.22 Incineration	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.23 Open Burn/Open Detonation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.24 Pyrolysis	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.25 Thermal Desorption	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.7 Containment																
	4.26 Landfill Cap	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.27 Landfill Cap Enhancements/Alternatives	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.8 Other Treatment																
	4.28 Excavation, Retrieval, Off-Site Disposal	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
Ground Water, Surface Water, and Leachate																
3.9 In Situ Biological Treatment																
	4.29 Enhanced Bioremediation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.30 Monitored Natural Attenuation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.31 Phytoremediation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.10 In Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment																
	4.32 Air Sparging	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.33 Biosurfing	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.34 Chemical Oxidation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.35 Directional Wells (enhancement)	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.36 Dual Phase Extraction	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.37 Thermal Treatment	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.38 Hydrofracturing Enhancements	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.39 In-Well Air Stripping	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.40 Passive/Reactive Treatment Walls	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.11 Ex Situ Biological Treatment																
	4.41 Bioreactors	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.42 Constructed Wetlands	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.12 Ex Situ Physical/Chemical Treatment (assuming pumping)																
	4.43 Adsorption/Absorption	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.44 Advanced Oxidation Processes	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.45 Air Stripping	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.46 Granulated Activated Carbon/Liquid Phase Carbon Adsorption	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.47 Groundwater Pumping/Pump & Treat	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.48 Ion Exchange	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.49 Precipitation/Coagulation/Flocculation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.50 Separation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.51 Sprinkler Irrigation	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.13 Containment																
	4.52 Physical Barriers	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
	4.53 Deep Well Injection	●	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	
3.14 Air Emissions/Off-Gas Treatment																
	4.54 Biofiltration	○	N/A	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	
	4.55 High Energy Destruction	○	N/A	I/D	I/D	○	○	I/D	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	
	4.56 Membrane Separation	○	N/A	I/D	I/D	○	○	I/D	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	
	4.57 Oxidation	●	N/A	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	
	4.58 Scrubbers	●	N/A	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	
	4.59 Vapor Phase Carbon Adsorption	●	N/A	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	○	○	○	○	I/D	○	

TABLE 3-1: DEFINITION OF SYMBOLS USED IN THE TREATMENT TECHNOLOGIES SCREENING MATRIX

Factors	● Above Average	○ Average	○ Below Average	Other	
Development Status Scale status of an available technology	Implemented as part of the final remedy at multiple sites, well documented, understood, etc.	Has been implemented at full scale but still needs improvements, testing, etc.	Not been fully implemented but has been tested (pilot, bench, lab scale) and is promising	◇ Level of Effectiveness highly dependent upon specific contaminant and its application/design	
Treatment Train Is the technology only effective as part of the treatment train?	Stand-alone technology (not complex in terms of number of media/treatment technologies, maybe one "routine" technology in addition)	Relatively simple (two-car train or so), and well understood, widely applied, etc.	Complex (more technologies, media to be treated, generates excessive waste, etc.)		
Relative overall cost and performance	O&M Operation and Maintenance Intensive	Low degree of O&M intensity	Average degree of O&M intensity	High degree of O&M intensity	
	Capital Capital Intensive	Low degree of capital investment	Average degree of capital investment	High degree of capital investment	
	System Reliability /Maintainability The expected range of demonstrated reliability and maintenance relative to other effective technologies	High reliability and low maintenance	Average reliability and average maintenance	Low reliability and high maintenance	N/A "Not Applicable"
	Relative Costs Design, construction, and operations and maintenance (O&M) costs of the core process that defines each and pre-and post-treatment	Low degree of general costs relative to other options	Average degree of general costs relative to other options	High degree of general costs relative to other options	I/D "Insufficient Data"
	Time Time required to clean up a "standard" site using the technology	in situ soil Less than 1 year ex situ soil Less than 0.5 year groundwater Less than 3 years	1-3 years 0.5-1 year 3-10 years	More than 3 years for in situ soil More than 1 year for ex situ soil More than 10 years for water	
Availability Number of vendors that can design, construct, and maintain the technology	More than 4 vendors	2-4 vendors	Fewer than 2 vendors		
Contaminants Treated Contaminants are classified into eight groups: - Nonhalogenated VOCs - Halogenated VOCs - Nonhalogenated SVOCs - Halogenated SVOCs	Effectiveness Demonstrated at Pilot or Full Scale	Limited Effectiveness Demonstrated at Pilot or Full Scale	No Demonstrated Effectiveness at Pilot or Full Scale	Same as above	

http://www.frtr.gov/matrix2/section3/table3_2.pdf

The Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (FRTR)

<http://www.frtr.gov/>

U.S. Department of Defense (AF, Army, Navy), U.S. Department of Energy, U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Aeronautics and Space Administration

FRTR Remediation Technology Screening Matrix and Reference Guide, Version 4.0